

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TESSERA, INC.,

No. C 05-4063 CW

Plaintiff,

v.

ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC., et  
al.,

Defendant.

TESSERA, INC.,

No. C 12-692 CW

Plaintiff,

v.

MOTOROLA, INC., et al.,

Defendant.

ORDER REGARDING  
SUBMISSIONS TO DR.  
DAUSKARDT

AND ALL RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS

On December 18, 2013, the parties in the above-referenced cases filed a joint letter asking the Court to resolve a dispute about their submissions to Dr. Dauskardt, the Court-appointed testifying expert. At the Court's direction, the parties asked Dr. Dauskardt what materials he required from the parties in order to complete his expert report. Dr. Dauskardt responded with a simple email requesting the parties provide "succinct" summary briefs and deposition designations by "early January." Docket No. 1302, Ex. C. Because the parties cannot even agree about the meaning of Dr. Dauskardt's email, the Court provides the following direction:

(1) The parties shall each file simultaneous summary briefs not exceeding twenty-five pages in length by January 17, 2014.

(2) The parties may append deposition designations and exhibits, but only to the extent that they are cited in the summary briefs. Mass designations are not allowed.

(3) The parties shall provide additional information to Dr. Dauskardt upon request, in the format that he chooses.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 12/20/2013

  
CLAUDIA WILKEN  
United States District Judge